

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

mx

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/926,086	09/19/2001	Guy Azam	P21314	3803		
7055	7590 11/21/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			SMITH, J	SMITH, JAMES G		
1950 ROLAN RESTON, V	D CLARKE PLACE A 20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3765	12		
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/200	3		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,								
		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/926,0	086	AZAM ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		James G		3765	<u></u>			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on ti	he cover sheet (	with the correspondence add	iress			
THE! - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no eation.  ys, a reply within the stry period will apply and by statute, cause the ar	event, however, may a satutory minimum of th will expire SIX (6) MC polication to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>25 August 200</u>	<u>03</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)	☑ This action is	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 11-53 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 11-53 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from c						
Applicati	ion Papers							
7—	The specification is objected to by the E							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)							
	Applicant may not request that any objection				'D 4 404(-1)			
445	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the							
	The oath or declaration is objected to by	r the Examiner.	Note the attach	ed Office Action of form P 1	O-132.			
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			0.440(.) (.1) (0				
a)   * 5  13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a cknowledgment is made of a claim for copies a specific reference was included in 7 CFR 1.78.  1) The translation of the foreign langual common content of the foreign langual common content of the foreign langual common content of the first sentence was included in the first sentence.	cuments have be cuments have be he priority docur Bureau (PCT R or a list of the ce domestic priority in the first sentendage provisional a domestic priority	een received. een received in ments have bee ule 17.2(a)). rtified copies no under 35 U.S.C ce of the specif application has under 35 U.S.C	Application No en received in this National solution received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional lication or in an Application been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since solutions.	application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachmen								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s f Informal Patent Application (PTO				

Application/Control Number: 09/926,086 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 11-53 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,467,195. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the device of the instant application is merely a slight variation of the cited patent. No significant difference between the two inventions are present and therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have simply modified the device of U.S. Patent 6,467,195 to achieve the accomplishments of the instant application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G Smith whose telephone number is 703-605-4225. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00, off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J Calvert can be reached on 703-305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-3590.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

JGS 11/19/2003

> JOHN J. CALVERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 3